

# Strong Failures of Higher Analogs of Hindman's Theorem

David Fernández-Bretón  
(joint work with Assaf Rinot)

djfernan@umich.edu  
<http://www-personal.umich.edu/~djfernan>

Department of Mathematics,  
University of Michigan

AMS Sectional Meeting  
Denver, October 8, 2016



$G$  will always be a commutative cancellative semigroup, additively denoted, of any cardinality.



$G$  will always be a commutative cancellative semigroup, additively denoted, of any cardinality.

If  $X \subseteq G$ , we will define the set of finite sums of  $X$  to be

$$\text{FS}(X) = \{x_1 + \cdots + x_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } x_1, \dots, x_n \in X \text{ are distinct}\}.$$



$G$  will always be a commutative cancellative semigroup, additively denoted, of any cardinality.

If  $X \subseteq G$ , we will define the set of finite sums of  $X$  to be

$$\text{FS}(X) = \{x_1 + \cdots + x_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } x_1, \dots, x_n \in X \text{ are distinct}\}.$$

### Theorem (Galvin/Glazer/Hindman)

*For every commutative cancellative semigroup  $G$  and every colouring  $c : G \rightarrow 2$  with two colours, there exists an infinite  $X \subseteq G$  such that  $\text{FS}(X)$  is monochromatic.*



$G$  will always be a commutative cancellative semigroup, additively denoted, of any cardinality.

If  $X \subseteq G$ , we will define the set of finite sums of  $X$  to be

$$\text{FS}(X) = \{x_1 + \cdots + x_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } x_1, \dots, x_n \in X \text{ are distinct}\}.$$

### Theorem (Galvin/Glazer/Hindman)

*For every commutative cancellative semigroup  $G$  and every colouring  $c : G \rightarrow 2$  with two colours, there exists an infinite  $X \subseteq G$  such that  $\text{FS}(X)$  is monochromatic.*

In all known proofs of this result, the set  $X$  is constructed by means of a recursion with  $\omega$  steps.



## Question

*Is it possible to find, given a colouring  $c : G \rightarrow 2$  of an uncountable commutative cancellative semigroup, an uncountable  $X$  with  $\text{FS}(X)$  monochromatic?*



## Question

*Is it possible to find, given a colouring  $c : G \rightarrow 2$  of an uncountable commutative cancellative semigroup, an uncountable  $X$  with  $\text{FS}(X)$  monochromatic?*

## Theorem

*For every uncountable commutative cancellative semigroup  $G$  there exists a colouring  $c : G \rightarrow 2$  such that whenever  $X \subseteq G$  is uncountable,  $\text{FS}(X)$  is not monochromatic.*



Our key algebraic tool to treat these problems is the following result:

## Theorem

Let  $G$  be any commutative cancellative semigroup of cardinality  $\kappa > \omega$ . Then there are countable abelian groups  $G_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha < \kappa$ , such that  $G$  embeds into

$$\bigoplus_{\alpha < \kappa} G_\alpha = \left\{ x \in \prod_{\alpha < \kappa} G_\alpha \mid x(\alpha) = 0 \text{ for all but finitely many } \alpha < \kappa \right\}.$$





Our key algebraic tool to treat these problems is the following result:

## Theorem

Let  $G$  be any commutative cancellative semigroup of cardinality  $\kappa > \omega$ . Then there are countable abelian groups  $G_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha < \kappa$ , such that  $G$  embeds into

$$\bigoplus_{\alpha < \kappa} G_\alpha = \left\{ x \in \prod_{\alpha < \kappa} G_\alpha \mid x(\alpha) = 0 \text{ for all but finitely many } \alpha < \kappa \right\}.$$

Note that, if  $c : \bigoplus_{\alpha < \kappa} G_\alpha \rightarrow 2$  is a “bad” colouring, then so is  $c \upharpoonright G$ . Thus from now on we will assume without loss of generality that  $G = \bigoplus_{\alpha < \kappa} G_\alpha$ , where each  $G_\alpha$  is countable.



Our key algebraic tool to treat these problems is the following result:

## Theorem

Let  $G$  be any commutative cancellative semigroup of cardinality  $\kappa > \omega$ . Then there are countable abelian groups  $G_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha < \kappa$ , such that  $G$  embeds into

$$\bigoplus_{\alpha < \kappa} G_\alpha = \left\{ x \in \prod_{\alpha < \kappa} G_\alpha \mid x(\alpha) = 0 \text{ for all but finitely many } \alpha < \kappa \right\}.$$

Note that, if  $c : \bigoplus_{\alpha < \kappa} G_\alpha \rightarrow 2$  is a “bad” colouring, then so is  $c \upharpoonright G$ . Thus from now on we will assume without loss of generality that  $G = \bigoplus_{\alpha < \kappa} G_\alpha$ , where each  $G_\alpha$  is countable.

Given  $x \in \bigoplus_{\alpha < \kappa} G_\alpha$ , we will define the **support** of  $x$  to be

$$\text{supp}(x) = \{\alpha < \kappa \mid x(\alpha) \neq 0\} \in [\kappa]^{<\omega}.$$



## Theorem

*For every uncountable commutative cancellative semigroup  $G$  there exists a colouring  $c : G \rightarrow 2$  such that whenever  $X \subseteq G$  is uncountable,  $\text{FS}(X)$  is not monochromatic.*



## Theorem

*For every uncountable commutative cancellative semigroup  $G$  there exists a colouring  $c : G \rightarrow 2$  such that whenever  $X \subseteq G$  is uncountable,  $\text{FS}(X)$  is omnichromatic.*



## Theorem

*For every uncountable commutative cancellative semigroup  $G$  there exists a colouring  $c : G \rightarrow m$  such that whenever  $X \subseteq G$  is uncountable,  $\text{FS}(X)$  is omnichromatic.*



## Theorem

*For every uncountable commutative cancellative semigroup  $G$  there exists a colouring  $c : G \rightarrow m$  such that whenever  $X \subseteq G$  is uncountable,  $\text{FS}(X)$  is not monochromatic.*



## Theorem

*For every uncountable commutative cancellative semigroup  $G$  there exists a colouring  $c : G \rightarrow m$  such that whenever  $X \subseteq G$  is uncountable,  $\text{FS}(X)$  is omnichromatic.*



## Theorem

*For every uncountable commutative cancellative semigroup  $G$  there exists a colouring  $c : G \rightarrow \omega$  such that whenever  $X \subseteq G$  is uncountable,  $\text{FS}(X)$  is omnichromatic.*





## Theorem

*For every uncountable commutative cancellative semigroup  $G$  there exists a colouring  $c : G \rightarrow \omega$  such that whenever  $X \subseteq G$  is uncountable,  $\text{FS}(X)$  is omnichromatic.*

We denote the statement above by  $G \dashrightarrow [\omega_1]_{\omega}^{\text{FS}}$  (recall the square-bracket notation for higher analogs of Ramsey's theorem).



## Theorem

*For every uncountable commutative cancellative semigroup  $G$  there exists a colouring  $c : G \rightarrow \omega$  such that whenever  $X \subseteq G$  is uncountable,  $\text{FS}(X)$  is omnichromatic.*

We denote the statement above by  $G \dashrightarrow [\omega_1]_{\omega}^{\text{FS}}$  (recall the square-bracket notation for higher analogs of Ramsey's theorem).

Under which circumstances can we increase the number of colours?



## Theorem

*For every uncountable commutative cancellative semigroup  $G$  there exists a colouring  $c : G \rightarrow \omega$  such that whenever  $X \subseteq G$  is uncountable,  $\text{FS}(X)$  is omnichromatic.*

We denote the statement above by  $G \dashrightarrow [\omega_1]_{\omega}^{\text{FS}}$  (recall the square-bracket notation for higher analogs of Ramsey's theorem).

Under which circumstances can we increase the number of colours?

## Lemma

*If  $G$  is commutative cancellative of cardinality  $\kappa > \omega$ , then there exists a  $d : G \rightarrow [\kappa]^{<\omega}$  such that for every uncountable  $X \subseteq G$ , there exists an  $A \subseteq \kappa$  with  $|A| = |X|$  and  $[A]^{<\omega} \subseteq d[\text{FS}(X)]$ .*

## Theorem

For all cardinals  $\lambda, \kappa, \theta$  with  $\omega_1 \leq \lambda \leq \kappa$ , the following are equivalent:

- $\kappa \rightarrow [\lambda]_\theta^{<\omega}$ ,
- $G \rightarrow [\lambda]_\theta^{\text{FS}}$  for every commutative cancellative semigroup of cardinality  $\kappa$ ,
- $G \rightarrow [\lambda]_\theta^{\text{FS}}$  for some commutative cancellative semigroup of cardinality  $\kappa$ .



## Theorem

For all cardinals  $\lambda, \kappa, \theta$  with  $\omega_1 \leq \lambda \leq \kappa$ , the following are equivalent:

- $\kappa \not\rightarrow [\lambda]_{\theta}^{<\omega}$ ,
- $G \not\rightarrow [\lambda]_{\theta}^{\text{FS}}$  for every commutative cancellative semigroup of cardinality  $\kappa$ ,
- $G \not\rightarrow [\lambda]_{\theta}^{\text{FS}}$  for some commutative cancellative semigroup of cardinality  $\kappa$ .

## Corollary

It is consistent with ZFC (e.g. if  $\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{L}_{\kappa}$  where  $\kappa$  is the least inaccessible) that for every commutative cancellative  $G$ ,  $G \not\rightarrow [\theta]_{\theta}^{\text{FS}}$  holds for every uncountable  $\theta$ .



## Theorem

For all cardinals  $\lambda, \kappa, \theta$  with  $\omega_1 \leq \lambda \leq \kappa$ , the following are equivalent:

- $\kappa \not\rightarrow [\lambda]_{\theta}^{<\omega}$ ,
- $G \not\rightarrow [\lambda]_{\theta}^{\text{FS}}$  for every commutative cancellative semigroup of cardinality  $\kappa$ ,
- $G \not\rightarrow [\lambda]_{\theta}^{\text{FS}}$  for some commutative cancellative semigroup of cardinality  $\kappa$ .

## Corollary

It is consistent with ZFC (e.g. if  $\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{L}_{\kappa}$  where  $\kappa$  is the least inaccessible) that for every commutative cancellative  $G$ ,  $G \not\rightarrow [\theta]_{\theta}^{\text{FS}}$  holds for every uncountable  $\theta$ .

## Corollary

Modulo large cardinals it is consistent with ZFC (e.g. after forcing to add  $\kappa$  Cohen reals, where  $\kappa$  is an  $\omega_1$ -Erdős cardinal in the ground model) that  $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow [\omega_1]_{\omega_1}^{\text{FS}}$ .

We now attempt to obtain results along the same lines, but replacing FS with  $\text{FS}_2$ , where for  $X \subseteq G$  we define  $\text{FS}_2(X) = \{x + y \mid x, y \in X \text{ are distinct}\}$ .



We now attempt to obtain results along the same lines, but replacing FS with  $\text{FS}_2$ , where for  $X \subseteq G$  we define  $\text{FS}_2(X) = \{x + y \mid x, y \in X \text{ are distinct}\}$ .

## Definition

Given cardinals  $\kappa \geq \theta$ , the symbol  $S^*(\kappa, \theta)$  will denote the following statement: there exists a colouring  $d : [\kappa]^{<\omega} \rightarrow \theta$  such that, if  $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y} \subseteq [\kappa]^{<\omega}$  are families satisfying  $|\mathcal{X}| = |\mathcal{Y}| = \kappa$ , then for every  $\delta < \theta$  there are elements  $x \in \mathcal{X}$  and  $y \in \mathcal{Y}$  such that  $d(z) = \delta$  whenever  $x \Delta y \subseteq z \subseteq x \cup y$ .





We now attempt to obtain results along the same lines, but replacing FS with  $\text{FS}_2$ , where for  $X \subseteq G$  we define  $\text{FS}_2(X) = \{x + y \mid x, y \in X \text{ are distinct}\}$ .

### Definition

Given cardinals  $\kappa \geq \theta$ , the symbol  $S^*(\kappa, \theta)$  will denote the following statement: there exists a colouring  $d : [\kappa]^{<\omega} \rightarrow \theta$  such that, if  $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y} \subseteq [\kappa]^{<\omega}$  are families satisfying  $|\mathcal{X}| = |\mathcal{Y}| = \kappa$ , then for every  $\delta < \theta$  there are elements  $x \in \mathcal{X}$  and  $y \in \mathcal{Y}$  such that  $d(z) = \delta$  whenever  $x \triangle y \subseteq z \subseteq x \cup y$ .

### Theorem

*Let  $\kappa \geq \theta \geq \omega_1$ . If  $S^*(\kappa, \theta)$  holds, then for every commutative cancellative  $G$  with  $|G| = \kappa$ ,  $G \not\rightarrow [\kappa]_{\theta}^{\text{FS}_2}$ .*

We now attempt to obtain results along the same lines, but replacing FS with  $\text{FS}_2$ , where for  $X \subseteq G$  we define  $\text{FS}_2(X) = \{x + y \mid x, y \in X \text{ are distinct}\}$ .

### Definition

Given cardinals  $\kappa \geq \theta$ , the symbol  $S^*(\kappa, \theta)$  will denote the following statement: there exists a colouring  $d : [\kappa]^{<\omega} \rightarrow \theta$  such that, if  $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y} \subseteq [\kappa]^{<\omega}$  are families satisfying  $|\mathcal{X}| = |\mathcal{Y}| = \kappa$ , then for every  $\delta < \theta$  there are elements  $x \in \mathcal{X}$  and  $y \in \mathcal{Y}$  such that  $d(z) = \delta$  whenever  $x \triangle y \subseteq z \subseteq x \cup y$ .

### Theorem

*Let  $\kappa \geq \theta \geq \omega_1$ . If  $S^*(\kappa, \theta)$  holds, then for every commutative cancellative  $G$  with  $|G| = \kappa$ ,  $G \not\rightarrow [\kappa]_{\theta}^{\text{FS}_2}$ . In fact, the following stronger statement holds: there exists a colouring  $c : G \rightarrow \theta$  such that for every two sets  $X, Y \subseteq G$  with  $|X| = |Y| = \kappa$ , the sumset  $X + Y = \{x + y \mid x \in X \text{ and } y \in Y\}$  attains all possible colours (that is,  $c[X + Y] = \theta$ ).*

Recall that the combinatorial principle  $\text{Pr}_1(\kappa, \lambda, \theta, \chi)$  states the existence of a colouring  $c : [\kappa]^2 \rightarrow \theta$  such that, whenever  $\mathcal{X} \subseteq [\kappa]^{<\chi}$  has size  $\lambda$  and is pairwise disjoint, for all  $\delta < \theta$  we can find two distinct  $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$  such that  $c[x \times y] = \{\delta\}$ .



Recall that the combinatorial principle  $\text{Pr}_1(\kappa, \lambda, \theta, \chi)$  states the existence of a colouring  $c : [\kappa]^2 \rightarrow \theta$  such that, whenever  $\mathcal{X} \subseteq [\kappa]^{<\chi}$  has size  $\lambda$  and is pairwise disjoint, for all  $\delta < \theta$  we can find two distinct  $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$  such that  $c[x \times y] = \{\delta\}$ .

## Fact

*If  $\text{cf}(\kappa) = \kappa > \omega_1$  admits a nonreflecting stationary set, then  $\text{Pr}_1(\kappa, \kappa, \kappa, \omega)$  holds (for example, if  $\kappa = \lambda^+$  for  $\lambda = \text{cf}(\lambda) \geq \omega_1$ ).*



Recall that the combinatorial principle  $\text{Pr}_1(\kappa, \lambda, \theta, \chi)$  states the existence of a colouring  $c : [\kappa]^2 \rightarrow \theta$  such that, whenever  $\mathcal{X} \subseteq [\kappa]^{<\chi}$  has size  $\lambda$  and is pairwise disjoint, for all  $\delta < \theta$  we can find two distinct  $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$  such that  $c[x \times y] = \{\delta\}$ .

## Fact

*If  $\text{cf}(\kappa) = \kappa > \omega_1$  admits a nonreflecting stationary set, then  $\text{Pr}_1(\kappa, \kappa, \kappa, \omega)$  holds (for example, if  $\kappa = \lambda^+$  for  $\lambda = \text{cf}(\lambda) \geq \omega_1$ ).*

## Theorem

*If  $\kappa = \text{cf}(\kappa) \geq \omega_1$  and  $\theta \leq \kappa$ , then  $\text{Pr}_1(\kappa, \kappa, \theta, \omega)$  implies  $S^*(\kappa, \theta)$ . In particular, if  $\text{Pr}_1(\kappa, \kappa, \theta, \omega)$  holds then  $G \rightarrow [\kappa]_\theta^{\text{FS}_2}$  whenever  $|G| = \kappa$ .*



Recall that the combinatorial principle  $\text{Pr}_1(\kappa, \lambda, \theta, \chi)$  states the existence of a colouring  $c : [\kappa]^2 \rightarrow \theta$  such that, whenever  $\mathcal{X} \subseteq [\kappa]^{<\chi}$  has size  $\lambda$  and is pairwise disjoint, for all  $\delta < \theta$  we can find two distinct  $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$  such that  $c[x \times y] = \{\delta\}$ .

## Fact

*If  $\text{cf}(\kappa) = \kappa > \omega_1$  admits a nonreflecting stationary set, then  $\text{Pr}_1(\kappa, \kappa, \kappa, \omega)$  holds (for example, if  $\kappa = \lambda^+$  for  $\lambda = \text{cf}(\lambda) \geq \omega_1$ ).*

## Theorem

*If  $\kappa = \text{cf}(\kappa) \geq \omega_1$  and  $\theta \leq \kappa$ , then  $\text{Pr}_1(\kappa, \kappa, \theta, \omega)$  implies  $S^*(\kappa, \theta)$ . In particular, if  $\text{Pr}_1(\kappa, \kappa, \theta, \omega)$  holds then  $G \twoheadrightarrow [\kappa]_{\theta}^{\text{FS}_2}$  whenever  $|G| = \kappa$ .*

## Theorem

*If  $\kappa$  is singular, then  $S^*(\text{cf}(\kappa), \theta)$  implies that  $S^*(\kappa, \theta)$ . In particular, if  $\kappa$  is such that  $\text{cf}(\kappa) > \omega_1$  admits a nonreflecting stationary subset, then  $S^*(\kappa, \text{cf}(\kappa))$  holds, and consequently,  $G \twoheadrightarrow [\kappa]_{\text{cf}(\kappa)}^{\text{FS}_2}$  for every commutative cancellative  $G$  of cardinality  $\kappa$ .*

The statement  $\text{Pr}_1(\omega_1, \omega_1, \omega_1, \omega)$  is not provable in ZFC. However, it is possible to prove the following.



The statement  $\text{Pr}_1(\omega_1, \omega_1, \omega_1, \omega)$  is not provable in ZFC. However, it is possible to prove the following.

## Theorem

*The principle  $S^*(\omega_1, \omega_1)$  holds. Consequently, whenever  $G$  is a commutative cancellative semigroup of cardinality  $\kappa$ , with  $\text{cf}(\kappa) = \omega_1$ , then  $G \not\rightarrow [\kappa]_{\omega_1}^{\text{FS}^2}$ .*





The statement  $\text{Pr}_1(\omega_1, \omega_1, \omega_1, \omega)$  is not provable in ZFC. However, it is possible to prove the following.

### Theorem

*The principle  $S^*(\omega_1, \omega_1)$  holds. Consequently, whenever  $G$  is a commutative cancellative semigroup of cardinality  $\kappa$ , with  $\text{cf}(\kappa) = \omega_1$ , then  $G \not\rightarrow [\kappa]_{\omega_1}^{\text{FS}_2}$ .*

### Theorem

*Let  $\kappa$  be an infinite cardinal satisfying  $2^{<\kappa} = \kappa$ . Then for every commutative cancellative  $G$  such that  $\text{cf}(|G|) = \text{cf}(2^\kappa)$ , we have that  $G \not\rightarrow [|G|]_{\omega}^{\text{FS}_2}$ . In particular, the statement  $\mathbb{R} \not\rightarrow [\mathfrak{c}]_{\omega}^{\text{FS}_2}$  holds.*



The statement  $\text{Pr}_1(\omega_1, \omega_1, \omega_1, \omega)$  is not provable in ZFC. However, it is possible to prove the following.

### Theorem

*The principle  $S^*(\omega_1, \omega_1)$  holds. Consequently, whenever  $G$  is a commutative cancellative semigroup of cardinality  $\kappa$ , with  $\text{cf}(\kappa) = \omega_1$ , then  $G \not\rightarrow [\kappa]_{\omega_1}^{\text{FS}_2}$ .*

### Theorem

*Let  $\kappa$  be an infinite cardinal satisfying  $2^{<\kappa} = \kappa$ . Then for every commutative cancellative  $G$  such that  $\text{cf}(|G|) = \text{cf}(2^\kappa)$ , we have that  $G \not\rightarrow [|G|]_{\omega}^{\text{FS}_2}$ . In particular, the statement  $\mathbb{R} \not\rightarrow [\mathfrak{c}]_{\omega}^{\text{FS}_2}$  holds.*

### Theorem

*If  $\mathfrak{c}$  is regular, and not weakly compact in  $\mathbb{L}$ , then  $\mathbb{R} \not\rightarrow [\mathfrak{c}]_{\mathfrak{c}}^{\text{FS}_2}$ . On the other hand, after adding  $\kappa$  Cohen reals to a model where  $\kappa$  is weakly compact, we obtain  $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow [\mathfrak{c}]_{\omega_1}^{\text{FS}_2}$ .*