

# Algebraic Ramsey-Theoretic Statements with an Uncountable Flavour

David Fernández-Bretón

(various joint works with elements of the set  $\{\emptyset, \text{Assaf Rinot, 이성협}\}$ )

djfernan@umich.edu  
<http://www-personal.umich.edu/~djfernan>

Department of Mathematics,  
University of Michigan

Second Pan Pacific International Conference  
on Topology and Applications

부산, 대한민국, November 14, 2017



## Theorem

*In every party with at least six attendees, there will either be three who mutually know each other, or three who do not know each other.*



## Theorem

*In every party with at least six attendees, there will either be three who mutually know each other, or three who do not know each other.*

*That is, if we colour the edges of a complete graph with at least six vertices using two colours, there will always be a monochromatic triangle.*



## Theorem

*In every party with at least six attendees, there will either be three who mutually know each other, or three who do not know each other.*

*That is, if we colour the edges of a complete graph with at least six vertices using two colours, there will always be a monochromatic triangle.*

*That is,*

$$6 \rightarrow (3)_2^2.$$



## Theorem

*In every party with at least six attendees, there will either be three who mutually know each other, or three who do not know each other.*

*That is, if we colour the edges of a complete graph with at least six vertices using two colours, there will always be a monochromatic triangle.*

*That is,*

$$6 \rightarrow (3)_2^2.$$

Ramsey theoretic statements are always of the form “however you colour a sufficiently large structure, there will always be monochromatic substructures of some prescribed size”.



## Theorem (Schur, 1912)

*Whenever we colour the set of natural numbers  $\mathbb{N}$  with finitely many colours, there will be two elements  $x, y$  such that the set  $\{x, y, x + y\}$  is monochromatic.*



### Theorem (Schur, 1912)

*Whenever we colour the set of natural numbers  $\mathbb{N}$  with finitely many colours, there will be two elements  $x, y$  such that the set  $\{x, y, x + y\}$  is monochromatic.*

### Theorem (van der Waerden, 1927)

*For every finite colouring of  $\mathbb{N}$  and every  $k < \omega$  there are two elements  $a, b$  such that the set  $\{a, a + b, a + 2b, \dots, a + kb\}$  is monochromatic.*



### Theorem (Schur, 1912)

*Whenever we colour the set of natural numbers  $\mathbb{N}$  with finitely many colours, there will be two elements  $x, y$  such that the set  $\{x, y, x + y\}$  is monochromatic.*

### Theorem (van der Waerden, 1927)

*For every finite colouring of  $\mathbb{N}$  and every  $k < \omega$  there are two elements  $a, b$  such that the set  $\{a, a + b, a + 2b, \dots, a + kb\}$  is monochromatic.*

### Theorem (Hindman, 1974)

*For every finite colouring of  $\mathbb{N}$  there exists an infinite set  $X \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  such that the set*

$$\text{FS}(X) = \{x_1 + \dots + x_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } x_1, \dots, x_n \in X \text{ are distinct}\}$$

*(the set of finite sums of elements of  $X$ ) is monochromatic.*



## Definition

Let  $S$  be a commutative semigroup and let  $\theta, \lambda$  be two cardinal numbers. The symbol  $S \rightarrow (\lambda)_{\theta}^{\text{FS}}$  will be used to denote the following statement: Whenever we colour the semigroup  $S$  with  $\theta$  colours, there will be a set  $X \subseteq S$  with  $|X| = \lambda$  such that  $\text{FS}(X)$  is monochromatic.



## Definition

Let  $S$  be a commutative semigroup and let  $\theta, \lambda$  be two cardinal numbers. The symbol  $S \rightarrow (\lambda)_{\theta}^{\text{FS}}$  will be used to denote the following statement: Whenever we colour the semigroup  $S$  with  $\theta$  colours, there will be a set  $X \subseteq S$  with  $|X| = \lambda$  such that  $\text{FS}(X)$  is monochromatic.

Thus Hindman's 1974 theorem from the previous slide simply asserts that  $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow (\aleph_0)_n^{\text{FS}}$  for every finite  $n$ . In fact, utilizing the tools from algebra in the Čech–Stone compactification one can prove the following.



## Definition

Let  $S$  be a commutative semigroup and let  $\theta, \lambda$  be two cardinal numbers. The symbol  $S \rightarrow (\lambda)_{\theta}^{\text{FS}}$  will be used to denote the following statement: Whenever we colour the semigroup  $S$  with  $\theta$  colours, there will be a set  $X \subseteq S$  with  $|X| = \lambda$  such that  $\text{FS}(X)$  is monochromatic.

Thus Hindman's 1974 theorem from the previous slide simply asserts that  $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow (\aleph_0)_n^{\text{FS}}$  for every finite  $n$ . In fact, utilizing the tools from algebra in the Čech–Stone compactification one can prove the following.

## Theorem (Galvin–Glazer–Hindman)

*Let  $G$  be any infinite abelian group. Then  $G \rightarrow (\aleph_0)_n^{\text{FS}}$  for every finite  $n$ .*

## Theorem (Galvin–Glazer–Hindman)

*Let  $G$  be any infinite abelian group. Then  $G \rightarrow (\mathbb{N}_0)_n^{\text{FS}}$  for every finite  $n$ .*

It is natural to ask ourselves whether it is possible to play with the parameters  $\theta, \lambda$  in the statement  $G \rightarrow (\lambda)_\theta^{\text{FS}}$ . In other words, try out an infinite number of colours, or try to increase the size of the monochromatic FS-set.



## Theorem (Galvin–Glazer–Hindman)

*Let  $G$  be any infinite abelian group. Then  $G \rightarrow (\aleph_0)_n^{\text{FS}}$  for every finite  $n$ .*

It is natural to ask ourselves whether it is possible to play with the parameters  $\theta, \lambda$  in the statement  $G \rightarrow (\lambda)_\theta^{\text{FS}}$ . In other words, try out an infinite number of colours, or try to increase the size of the monochromatic FS-set.

## Proposition

*If  $G$  is any infinite abelian group, then  $G \not\rightarrow (\aleph_0)_{\aleph_0}^{\text{FS}}$ .*



## Theorem (F.B., 2015)

*Let  $G$  be any uncountable abelian group. Then  $G \twoheadrightarrow (\aleph_1)_2^{\text{FS}}$ .*



## Theorem (F.B., 2015)

Let  $G$  be any uncountable abelian group. Then  $G \not\rightarrow (\aleph_1)_2^{\text{FS}}$ .

## Definition

Once again,  $S$  is a commutative semigroup and  $\theta, \lambda$  are cardinals. The symbol  $S \rightarrow (\lambda)_\theta^{\text{FS}}$  denotes the statement that whenever we colour  $S$  with  $\theta$  colours, there will be a set  $X \subseteq S$  with  $|X| = \lambda$  such that  $\text{FS}(X)$  is monochromatic.



## Theorem (F.B., 2015)

Let  $G$  be any uncountable abelian group. Then  $G \not\rightarrow (\aleph_1)_2^{\text{FS}}$ .

## Definition

Once again,  $S$  is a commutative semigroup and  $\theta, \lambda$  are cardinals. The symbol  $S \rightarrow [\lambda]_{\theta}^{\text{FS}}$  denotes the statement that whenever we colour  $S$  with  $\theta$  colours, there will be a set  $X \subseteq S$  with  $|X| = \lambda$  such that  $\text{FS}(X)$  avoids at least one colour.





## Theorem (F.B., 2015)

Let  $G$  be any uncountable abelian group. Then  $G \not\rightarrow (\aleph_1)_2^{\text{FS}}$ .

## Definition

Once again,  $S$  is a commutative semigroup and  $\theta, \lambda$  are cardinals. The symbol  $S \not\rightarrow [\lambda]_{\theta}^{\text{FS}}$  denotes the statement that there exists a colouring of  $S$  with  $\theta$  colours such that for every  $X \subseteq S$  with  $|X| = \lambda$ ,  $\text{FS}(X)$  is panchromatic.



## Theorem (F.B., 2015)

*Let  $G$  be any uncountable abelian group. Then  $G \rightarrow (\aleph_1)_2^{\text{FS}}$ .*

## Definition

Once again,  $S$  is a commutative semigroup and  $\theta, \lambda$  are cardinals. The symbol  $S \rightarrow [\lambda]_{\theta}^{\text{FS}}$  denotes the statement that there exists a colouring of  $S$  with  $\theta$  colours such that for every  $X \subseteq S$  with  $|X| = \lambda$ ,  $\text{FS}(X)$  is panchromatic.

Thus,

## Theorem (F.B., 2015)

*Let  $G$  be any uncountable abelian group. Then  $G \rightarrow [\aleph_1]_2^{\text{FS}}$ .*

## Theorem (Milliken, 1978)

Suppose that  $G$  is a group such that  $|G| = \kappa^+ = 2^\kappa$  for some cardinal  $\kappa$ . Then  $G \not\rightarrow [\kappa^+]_{\kappa^+}^{\text{FS}_2}$

(Where  $\text{FS}_n(X) = \{x_1 + \dots + x_n \mid x_1, \dots, x_n \in X \text{ are distinct}\}$ , so that  $\text{FS}(X) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{FS}_n(X)$ .)



## Theorem (Milliken, 1978)

Suppose that  $G$  is a group such that  $|G| = \kappa^+ = 2^\kappa$  for some cardinal  $\kappa$ . Then  $G \not\rightarrow [\kappa^+]_{\kappa^+}^{\text{FS}_2}$

(Where  $\text{FS}_n(X) = \{x_1 + \cdots + x_n \mid x_1, \dots, x_n \in X \text{ are distinct}\}$ , so that  $\text{FS}(X) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{FS}_n(X)$ .)

(However, it is consistent with ZFC that  $2^\kappa > \kappa^+$  for every infinite cardinal  $\kappa$ .)



## Theorem (Milliken, 1978)

Suppose that  $G$  is a group such that  $|G| = \kappa^+ = 2^\kappa$  for some cardinal  $\kappa$ . Then  $G \not\rightarrow [\kappa^+]_{\kappa^+}^{\text{FS}_2}$

(Where  $\text{FS}_n(X) = \{x_1 + \cdots + x_n \mid x_1, \dots, x_n \in X \text{ are distinct}\}$ , so that  $\text{FS}(X) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{FS}_n(X)$ .)

(However, it is consistent with ZFC that  $2^\kappa > \kappa^+$  for every infinite cardinal  $\kappa$ .)

## Theorem (Hindman, Leader and Strauss, 2015)

For every  $n \geq 2$ ,

$$\mathbb{R} \not\rightarrow [\mathfrak{c}]_2^{\text{FS}_n}$$

Theorem (Komjáth and independently D. Soukup and W. Weiss)

For every  $n \geq 2$ ,

$$\mathbb{R} \not\rightarrow [\omega_1]_2^{\text{FS}_n}.$$



**Theorem (Komjáth and independently D. Soukup and W. Weiss)**

For every  $n \geq 2$ ,

$$\mathbb{R} \not\rightarrow [\omega_1]_2^{\text{FS}_n}.$$

**Remark (D. Soukup and W. Weiss)**

By a theorem of Shelah, it is consistent with ZFC (modulo a large cardinal hypothesis) that  $\mathbb{R} \not\rightarrow [\omega_1]_3^{\text{FS}_n}$  fails for every  $n \geq 2$ .



## Theorem (F.B. and Rinot, 2016)

*Let  $G$  be any (uncountable) abelian group. Then  $G \not\rightarrow [\omega_1]_\omega^{\text{FS}}$ .*





### Theorem (F.B. and Rinot, 2016)

*Let  $G$  be any (uncountable) abelian group. Then  $G \not\rightarrow [\omega_1]_{\omega}^{\text{FS}}$ .*

### Theorem (F.B. and Rinot, 2016)

*It is consistent with ZFC (by assuming  $\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{L}$  plus the nonexistence of inaccessible cardinals) that  $G \not\rightarrow [\omega_1]_{\omega_1}^{\text{FS}}$  holds for every uncountable abelian group  $G$ .*



## Theorem (F.B. and Rinot, 2016)

*Let  $G$  be any (uncountable) abelian group. Then  $G \not\rightarrow [\omega_1]_{\omega}^{\text{FS}}$ .*

## Theorem (F.B. and Rinot, 2016)

*It is consistent with ZFC (by assuming  $\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{L}$  plus the nonexistence of inaccessible cardinals) that  $G \not\rightarrow [\omega_1]_{\omega_1}^{\text{FS}}$  holds for every uncountable abelian group  $G$ .*

## Theorem (F.B. and Rinot, 2016)

*Modulo a large cardinal hypothesis (more specifically, the existence of an  $\omega_1$ -Erdős cardinal), it is consistent with ZFC that  $\mathbb{R} \not\rightarrow [\omega_1]_{\omega_1}^{\text{FS}}$  fails.*

## Theorem (F.B. and Rinot, 2016)

Let  $G$  be any (uncountable) abelian group. Then  $G \not\rightarrow [\omega_1]_{\omega}^{\text{FS}}$ .



## Theorem (F.B. and Rinot, 2016)

Let  $G$  be any (uncountable) abelian group. Then  $G \not\rightarrow [\omega_1]_\omega^{\text{FS}}$ .

## Theorem (F.B. and Rinot, 2016)

If  $G$  is an abelian group of cardinality  $\beth_\omega$ , then  $G \rightarrow [|G|]_\omega^{\text{FS}_n}$  (in particular,  $G \rightarrow [\omega_1]_\omega^{\text{FS}_n}$ ) for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .



## Theorem (F.B. and Rinot, 2016)

Let  $G$  be any (uncountable) abelian group. Then  $G \not\rightarrow [\omega_1]_{\omega}^{\text{FS}}$ .

## Theorem (F.B. and Rinot, 2016)

If  $G$  is an abelian group of cardinality  $\beth_{\omega}$ , then  $G \rightarrow [G]_{\omega}^{\text{FS}_n}$  (in particular,  $G \rightarrow [\omega_1]_{\omega}^{\text{FS}_n}$ ) for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

## Theorem (F.B. and Rinot, 2016)

For every integer  $n \geq 2$ ,

$$\mathbb{R} \not\rightarrow [\mathfrak{c}]_{\omega}^{\text{FS}_n},$$

in particular it is consistent (e.g. assuming CH) that  $\mathbb{R} \not\rightarrow [\omega_1]_{\omega}^{\text{FS}_n}$ .

## Theorem (F.B. and Rinot, 2016)

For every integer  $n \geq 2$ ,

$$\mathbb{R} \not\rightarrow [\mathfrak{c}]_{\omega}^{\text{FS}_n}.$$



**Theorem (F.B. and Rinot, 2016)**

For every integer  $n \geq 2$ ,

$$\mathbb{R} \not\rightarrow [\mathfrak{c}]_{\omega}^{\text{FS}_n}.$$

**Theorem (F.B. and Rinot, 2016)**

If  $\mathfrak{c}$  is a successor cardinal (e.g., assuming CH), then

$$\mathbb{R} \not\rightarrow [\mathfrak{c}]_{\omega_1}^{\text{FS}_n},$$

for every integer  $n \geq 2$ .



## Theorem (F.B. and Rinot, 2016)

For every integer  $n \geq 2$ ,

$$\mathbb{R} \not\rightarrow [\mathfrak{c}]_{\omega}^{\text{FS}_n}.$$

## Theorem (F.B. and Rinot, 2016)

If  $\mathfrak{c}$  is a successor cardinal (e.g., assuming CH), then

$$\mathbb{R} \not\rightarrow [\mathfrak{c}]_{\omega_1}^{\text{FS}_n},$$

for every integer  $n \geq 2$ .

## Theorem (F.B. and Rinot, 2016)

Modulo a large cardinal hypothesis (concretely, the existence of a weakly compact cardinal), it is consistent with ZFC that  $\mathbb{R} \not\rightarrow [\mathfrak{c}]_{\omega_1}^{\text{FS}_n}$  fails for every integer  $n \geq 2$ .



## Theorem (F.B. and Rinot, 2016)

The class of cardinals  $\kappa$  for which every abelian group  $G$  of cardinality  $\kappa$  satisfies  $G \twoheadrightarrow [\kappa]_{\kappa}^{\text{FS}_n}$  for all  $n \geq 2$ , includes:

- $\kappa = \aleph_1, \aleph_2, \dots, \aleph_n, \dots$ ; in fact, every successor of a regular cardinal,
- every  $\kappa$  such that  $\kappa = \lambda^+ = 2^\lambda$ ,
- every regular uncountable  $\kappa$  admitting a nonreflecting stationary set,
- consistently with ZFC, every regular uncountable cardinal  $\kappa$ .



Recall that we mentioned that  $G \rightarrow (\omega)_{\omega}^{\text{FS}}$  for every infinite abelian group  $G$ .



Recall that we mentioned that  $G \rightarrow (\omega)_{\omega}^{\text{FS}}$  for every infinite abelian group  $G$ .

### Theorem (Komjáth 2016)

*Given any cardinal  $\kappa$  and any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists a sufficiently large  $\lambda$  (in fact, it suffices to take  $\lambda = (\beth_{2^{n-1}-1}(\kappa))^+$ ) such that  $\mathbb{B}(\lambda) \rightarrow (n)_{\kappa}^{\text{FS}}$ .*

*(Here  $\mathbb{B}(\lambda)$  denotes the unique (up to isomorphism) Boolean group of cardinality  $\lambda$ , whose most friendly incarnation is  $([\lambda]^{<\omega}, \Delta)$ .)*



Recall that we mentioned that  $G \rightarrow (\omega)_{\omega}^{\text{FS}}$  for every infinite abelian group  $G$ .

### Theorem (Komjáth 2016)

Given any cardinal  $\kappa$  and any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists a sufficiently large  $\lambda$  (in fact, it suffices to take  $\lambda = (\beth_{2^{n-1}-1}(\kappa))^+$ ) such that  $\mathbb{B}(\lambda) \rightarrow (n)_{\kappa}^{\text{FS}}$ .

(Here  $\mathbb{B}(\lambda)$  denotes the unique (up to isomorphism) Boolean group of cardinality  $\lambda$ , whose most friendly incarnation is  $([\lambda]^{<\omega}, \Delta)$ .)

### Theorem (Komjáth 2016)

Given any  $\kappa$  and any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists a sufficiently large cardinal  $\lambda$  (in fact, we can take  $\lambda = (\beth_{2^{n-1}}(\beth_{2^{n-1}-1}(\kappa)^+))^+$ ) such that, for any colouring of  $\mathbb{B}(\lambda)$  with  $\kappa$  colours, we can find elements  $x_{\alpha,i}$  ( $\alpha < \kappa, i < n$ ) such that the set

$$\{x_{\alpha_0, i_0} + \cdots + x_{\alpha_k, i_k} \mid \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k < \kappa \wedge i_0 < i_1 < \cdots < i_k < n\}$$

is monochromatic. We denote this property with the symbol

$$\mathbb{B}(\lambda) \rightarrow (\kappa \times n)_{\kappa}^{\text{FS}_{\text{matrix}}}.$$

## Theorem (Carlucci, 2017)

Given an infinite cardinal  $\kappa$  and positive integers  $c, d$ , there exists a  $\lambda$  such that, for every abelian group  $G$  of cardinality  $\lambda$ , it is the case that for every  $c$ -colouring of  $G$  there exists  $H \subseteq G$  with  $|H| = \kappa$  and  $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$  such that the set

$$\bigcup_{n \in \{a, a+b, a+2b, \dots, a+db\}} \text{FS}_n(H)$$

is monochromatic.



## Theorem (Carlucci, 2017)

Given an infinite cardinal  $\kappa$  and positive integers  $c, d$ , there exists a  $\lambda$  such that, for every abelian group  $G$  of cardinality  $\lambda$ , it is the case that for every  $c$ -colouring of  $G$  there exists  $H \subseteq G$  with  $|H| = \kappa$  and  $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$  such that the set

$$\bigcup_{n \in \{a, a+b, a+2b, \dots, a+db\}} \text{FS}_n(H)$$

is monochromatic.

## Theorem (Carlucci, 2017)

Given an infinite cardinal  $\kappa$  and positive integers  $c, d$ , there exists a  $\lambda$  such that, for every abelian group  $G$  of cardinality  $\lambda$ , it is the case that for every  $c$ -colouring of  $G$  there exists  $H \subseteq G$  with  $|H| = \kappa$  and distinct  $a_1, \dots, a_d \in \mathbb{N}$  such that the set

$$\bigcup_{n \in \text{FS}(\{a_1, \dots, a_d\})} \text{FS}_n(H)$$

is monochromatic.

## Theorem (F.B. and Lee, 2017)

Given  $\kappa$ , let  $\lambda = \beth_1(\kappa)^+ = (2^\kappa)^+$ . Then for every abelian group  $G$  of cardinality  $\lambda$ , it is the case that

$$G \rightarrow (2)_{\kappa}^{\text{FS}}.$$



## Theorem (F.B. and Lee, 2017)

Given  $\kappa$ , let  $\lambda = \beth_1(\kappa)^+ = (2^\kappa)^+$ . Then for every abelian group  $G$  of cardinality  $\lambda$ , it is the case that

$$G \rightarrow (2)_{\kappa}^{\text{FS}}.$$

## Theorem (F.B. and Lee, 2017)

The upper bound from the previous theorem is optimal. More concretely,

$$\mathbb{B}(2^\kappa) \not\rightarrow (2)_{\kappa}^{\text{FS}}.$$





## Theorem (F.B. and Lee, 2017)

Given  $\kappa$ , let  $\lambda = \beth_1(\kappa)^+ = (2^\kappa)^+$ . Then for every abelian group  $G$  of cardinality  $\lambda$ , it is the case that

$$G \rightarrow (2)_\kappa^{\text{FS}}.$$

## Theorem (F.B. and Lee, 2017)

The upper bound from the previous theorem is optimal. More concretely,

$$\mathbb{B}(2^\kappa) \not\rightarrow (2)_\kappa^{\text{FS}}.$$

## Theorem (F.B. and Lee, 2017)

Given  $\kappa$ , let  $\lambda = (2^\kappa)^+$ . Then for every abelian group  $G$  of cardinality  $\lambda$ , it is the case that

$$G \rightarrow (\kappa \times 2)_\kappa^{\text{FS}_{\text{matrix}}}.$$

## Definition

An  **$n$ -adequate pattern** is a sequence of  $n$  elements  $\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle \in \bigoplus \mathbb{Z}$  such that for some fixed finite sequence  $s$  of nonzero integers, it is the case that

$$\text{NZ}[\text{FS}(\{x_1, \dots, x_n\})] = \{s\},$$

where  $\text{NZ}(x)$  denotes the sequence of non-zero entries of  $x$ .



## Definition

An  **$n$ -adequate pattern** is a sequence of  $n$  elements  $\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle \in \bigoplus \mathbb{Z}$  such that for some fixed finite sequence  $s$  of nonzero integers, it is the case that

$$\text{NZ}[\text{FS}(\{x_1, \dots, x_n\})] = \{s\},$$

where  $\text{NZ}(x)$  denotes the sequence of non-zero entries of  $x$ .

For example, the sequence  $\langle (1, -1, 0), (0, 1, -1) \rangle$  is a 2-adequate pattern.



## Definition

An  **$n$ -adequate pattern** is a sequence of  $n$  elements  $\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle \in \bigoplus \mathbb{Z}$  such that for some fixed finite sequence  $s$  of nonzero integers, it is the case that

$$\text{NZ}[\text{FS}(\{x_1, \dots, x_n\})] = \{s\},$$

where  $\text{NZ}(x)$  denotes the sequence of non-zero entries of  $x$ .

For example, the sequence  $\langle (1, -1, 0), (0, 1, -1) \rangle$  is a 2-adequate pattern.

## Proposition (F.B. and Lee, 2017)

*The following are equivalent:*

- *There exists an  $n$ -adequate pattern,*
- *for every  $\kappa$  there exists a  $\lambda$  such that every abelian group  $G$  with  $|G| = \lambda$  satisfies  $G \rightarrow (n)_{\kappa}^{\text{FS}}$ .*

## Definition

We will use the symbol  $G \not\rightarrow (\lambda)_\theta^+$  to denote the statement that there exists a colouring  $c : G \rightarrow \theta$  such that for every  $X \subseteq G$  satisfying  $|X| = \lambda$ , the set  $X + X$  cannot be monochromatic.



## Definition

We will use the symbol  $G \not\rightarrow (\lambda)_\theta^+$  to denote the statement that there exists a colouring  $c : G \rightarrow \theta$  such that for every  $X \subseteq G$  satisfying  $|X| = \lambda$ , the set  $X + X$  cannot be monochromatic.

All of the  $\text{FS}_n$  results of myself and Rinot mentioned previously still hold if we replace  $\text{FS}_2$  with  $+$  (because  $X + X = \text{FS}_2(X) \cup 2X$ ).



## Definition

We will use the symbol  $G \not\rightarrow (\lambda)_\theta^+$  to denote the statement that there exists a colouring  $c : G \rightarrow \theta$  such that for every  $X \subseteq G$  satisfying  $|X| = \lambda$ , the set  $X + X$  cannot be monochromatic.

All of the  $\text{FS}_n$  results of myself and Rinot mentioned previously still hold if we replace  $\text{FS}_2$  with  $+$  (because  $X + X = \text{FS}_2(X) \cup 2X$ ).

## Question (Owings, 1974)

*Is it the case that  $\mathbb{N} \not\rightarrow (\omega)_2^+$ ?*



## Definition

We will use the symbol  $G \not\rightarrow (\lambda)_\theta^+$  to denote the statement that there exists a colouring  $c : G \rightarrow \theta$  such that for every  $X \subseteq G$  satisfying  $|X| = \lambda$ , the set  $X + X$  cannot be monochromatic.

All of the  $\text{FS}_n$  results of myself and Rinot mentioned previously still hold if we replace  $\text{FS}_2$  with  $+$  (because  $X + X = \text{FS}_2(X) \cup 2X$ ).

## Question (Owings, 1974)

*Is it the case that  $\mathbb{N} \not\rightarrow (\omega)_2^+$ ?*

## Theorem (Hindman, 1979)

$\mathbb{N} \not\rightarrow (\omega)_3^+$ .



## Theorem (Hindman, Leader and Strauss, 2015)

*It is consistent with the ZFC axioms (more concretely, it follows from  $\mathfrak{c} < \aleph_\omega$ ) that  $\mathbb{R} \not\rightarrow (\omega)_k^+$  for some finite  $k$ .*



### Theorem (Hindman, Leader and Strauss, 2015)

*It is consistent with the ZFC axioms (more concretely, it follows from  $\mathfrak{c} < \aleph_\omega$ ) that  $\mathbb{R} \not\rightarrow (\omega)_k^+$  for some finite  $k$ .*

### Theorem (Komjáth, Leader, Russell, Shelah, D. Soukup and Vidnyánszky, 2017)

*Modulo large cardinals (more concretely, assuming the existence of a measurable cardinal), it is consistent that  $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow (\omega)_r^+$  for all finite  $r$ .*

