# Some results concerning Strongly Summable Ultrafilters on Abelian Groups

David J. Fernández Bretón

Department of Mathematics and Statistics York University

48th. Spring Topology and Dynamics Conference Richmond, Virginia, U.S., 15/03/2014



David Fernández (York University)

Strongly Summable Ultrafilters

Spring Topology 15/03/2014 1 / 11

The Čech-Stone compactification of a discrete abelian group (G, +) is the set  $\beta G$  of ultrafilters on G, with basic open sets of the form

$$\bar{A} = \{ p \in \beta G \big| A \in p \} \qquad (A \subseteq G).$$

Every  $x \in S$  is identified with

$$\{A \subseteq G \mid x \in A\},\$$

and the group operation + on G is extended by the formula

$$p+q = \{A \subseteq G | \{x \in G | A - x \in q\} \in p\},\$$

and  $G^* = \beta G \setminus G$  is a closed subsemigroup.



Denote by  $\vec{x} = \langle x_n | n < \omega \rangle$  a sequence (typically injective) of elements of *G*.

$$\operatorname{FS}(\vec{x}) = \left\{ \sum_{n \in a} x_n \middle| a \in [\omega]^{<\omega} \setminus \{\emptyset\} \right\}.$$

#### Definition

We say that  $p \in G^*$  is **strongly summable** if for every  $A \in p$  there exists a sequence  $\vec{x}$  such that  $p \ni FS(\vec{x}) \subseteq A$ . (i.e. p has a basis of FS-sets)



・ロト ・日本 ・ヨト ・ヨト

# Theorem (Hindman-Blass on $\mathbb{Z}$ , Hindman-Protasov-Strauss in general)

Every strongly summable ultrafilter p is an idempotent (i.e. p = p + p).

## Theorem (Hindman-Strauss)

Let  $p \in \mathbb{Z}^*$  be a strongly summable ultrafilter, and let  $q, r \in \omega^*$  be such that q + r = r + q = p. Then  $q, r \in \mathbb{Z} + p$ .

#### Theorem (Hindman-Protasov-Strauss)

If  $G \subseteq \mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ , and  $p \in G^*$  is strongly summable, then whenever  $q, r \in G^*$  are such that q + r = r + q = p, it must be the case that  $q, r \in G + p$ .



イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 二日

### Definition

We say that  $p \in G^*$  has the **trivial sums property** if whenever  $q, r \in G^*$  are such that q + r = p, we must have that  $q, r \in G + p$ .

#### Definition (Hindman-Protasov-Strauss)

An ultrafilter  $p \in G^*$  is **sparse** if for every  $A \in p$  there exist a sequence  $\vec{x} = \langle x_n | n < \omega \rangle$  and a moiety M of  $\omega$  such that  $FS(\vec{x}) \subseteq A$  and  $FS(\langle x_n | n \in M \rangle) \in p$ .

#### Theorem (Hindman-Protasov-Strauss)

If  $G \subseteq \mathbb{T}$  and  $p \in G^*$  is sparse, then p has the trivial sums property.



イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 二日

## Theorem (Hindman-Steprāns-Strauss)

We can assume that  $G \subseteq \bigoplus_{n < \omega} \mathbb{T}$ . If  $p \in G^*$  is a strongly summable ultrafilter, and  $\left\{ x \in S | \pi_{\rho(x)}(x) \neq \frac{1}{2} \right\} \in p, \quad (\rho(x) = \min\{i < \omega | \pi_i(x) \neq 0\})$ 

then p is sparse.

## Theorem (F.B.)

No matter what G is, if  $p \in G^*$  is strongly summable then it is sparse and it has the trivial sums property.



# Definition (Blass)

A a nonprincipal ultrafilter p on  $[\omega]^{<\omega}$  is called a **union ultrafilter** if for every  $A \in p$  there exists a pairwise disjoint sequence  $\vec{x} = \langle x_n | n < \omega \rangle$  of elements of  $[\omega]^{<\omega}$  such that  $p \ni FU(\vec{x}) \subseteq A$ .

#### **Definition (Hindman-Blass)**

We will say that a strongly summable ultrafilter  $p \in G^*$  is **additively** isomorphic to a union ultrafilter if for some sequence  $\vec{x}$  of elements of Gwith  $FS(\vec{x}) \in p$ , the mapping  $\sum_{i \in a} x_i \longmapsto a$  sends p to a union ultrafilter.



(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))

# Theorem (Blass-Hindman for $\mathbb{Z}$ , F.B. in general)

If  $p \in G^*$  and  $\{x \in G | 2x = 0\} \notin p$  then p is additively isomorphic to a union ultrafilter.

# Theorem (F.B.)

Assume  $cov(\mathcal{M}) = \mathfrak{c}$ . Then, there exists a strongly summable ultrafilter on the Boolean group  $([\omega]^{<\omega}, \triangle)$  which is not additively isomorphic to a union ultrafilter.



(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))

# Theorem (Hindman-Blass for Z, Hindman-Protasov-Strauss in general)

Given *G*, there exists a mapping  $\mu : G \longrightarrow \omega$  such that, if  $p \in G^*$  is strongly summable, then  $\mu(p)$  is a *P*-point. Furthermore, if  $\{x \in G | 2x = 0\} \notin p$ , then  $\mu(p)$  is rapid.

## Theorem (Krautzberger)

If  $p \in \mathbb{Z}^*$  then p is rapid. (Hence, if  $p \in G^*$  and  $\{x \in G | 2x = 0\} \notin p$ , then p is rapid).

## Theorem (F.B.)

Let  $\max : [\omega]^{<\omega} \longrightarrow \omega$ . If p is a strongly summable ultrafilter on the Boolean group  $([\omega]^{<\omega}, \triangle)$ , then both  $\max(p)$  and p itself are rapid.



If p is a strongly summable ultrafilter on  $G = ([\omega]^{<\omega}, \triangle)$ , let

$$\Pr(p) = \{ (A, FS(X)) | A \in [G]^{<\omega} \land F \triangle(X) \in p \}$$

with  $(A, FS(X)) \leq (B, FS(Y))$  iff  $A \supseteq B$  and  $FS(X \cup (A \setminus B)) \subseteq F \triangle (Y)$ (equivalently  $X \cup (A \setminus B) \subseteq FS(Y)$ ).

#### Theorem (F.B.)

Let  $\omega < \lambda < \kappa$  be two regular cardinals. A finite support iteration of length  $\lambda$ , with iterands of the form  $\Pr(p) \star \operatorname{Fn}(\kappa, 2)$  (Fn( $\kappa, 2$ ) is the forcing notion that adds  $\kappa$  many Cohen reals) yields a model where  $\operatorname{cov}(\mathcal{M}) = \lambda$ ,  $\mathfrak{c} = \kappa$  and there exists a strongly summable ultrafilter on *G* (actually, this ultrafilter is generated by  $\lambda$  elements, so  $\mathfrak{u} = \lambda$  as well).



< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Blass, A. and Hindman, N., On Strongly Summable Ultrafilters and Union Ultrafilters, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 304 No. 1 (1987), 83-99.





- 📎 Hindman, N., Steprāns, J. and Strauss, D., Semigroups in which all Strongly Summable Ultrafilters are Sparse, New York J. Math. 18 (2012), 835-848.
- Hindman, N. and Strauss, D., Algebra in the Stone-Cech Compactification, de Gruyter Expositions in Mathematics 27, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin-New York, 1998.



Krautzberger, P., On strongly summable ultrafilters, New York J. Math. 16 📎 (2010), 629-649.



Krautzberger, P., On Union Ultrafilters, Order 29 (2012), 317-343.



イロン イロン イヨン イヨン 三日